

Existence Is Infinite

Moderators: AMod, iMod

Post Reply Search this topic… 731 posts 1 … 15 16 17 18 19 … 49

Re: Existence Is Infinite

by **Age** » Wed Jul 19, 2023 1:22 am

Age
ONLINE
Posts: 26383
Joined: Sun Aug 05, 2018 8:17 am

> **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑ Tue Jul 18, 2023 11:00 pm
>
> > **Age** wrote: ↑ Tue Jul 18, 2023 5:41 am
> >
> > > **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑ Mon Jul 17, 2023 10:58 pm
> > > Particular things have form.
> >
> > AND so to does 'Existence', Itself. That is; if one wants to LOOK FROM a MUCH BIGGER perspective rather than FROM just a VERY SMALL or NARROWED one.
> >
> > AND, if you have NOT YET WORK OUT the DEFINITE form of 'Existence', Itself, then that in NO WAY does NOT necessarily mean that 'Existence' IS FORMLESS.
> >
> > That just MEANS you are YET to SEE, KNOW, and UNDERSTAND the DEFINITE FORM of 'Existence', Itself.
>
> I’d like to know what you think this “definite form” of existence is.
>
> You use the terms “look” and “see” when discussing the subject as if you have actually observed the form of existence. There would be no way to do so. There would be no way to travel outside of existence or to some point beyond existence to ascertain any definite form of existence. Any point would be part of existence. We are eternally embedded within the image of existence.
>
> Those are not the parameters of existence, that is not the nature of existence anyway. Existence, being just is. It is not inherently mapped or modeled. Those are contrivances resulting from our conscious interactions and sensory experiences. As conscious beings we create models of our environments for our purposes and for our survival. In other words by claiming you see or understand some definite form of existence you are really just projecting some contrivance extending from the model you have created.

'Existence' in a DEFINITE way, shape, and FORM IS eternal AND infinite. But, as you have just already IN-FORMED us you are NOT capable of being ABLE TO LOOK AT 'this', YET. And, because you BELIEVE that you NEVER could, then 'you' WILL NEVER be ABLE TO SEE 'this Fact'.

> **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑ Tue Jul 18, 2023 11:00 pm
> Besides, you’ve already agreed that existence is infinite:
>
> > **Age** wrote: ↑ Mon Jul 17, 2023 1:16 am
> > And this is JUST BECAUSE the **Universe IS 'infinite'** AND 'eternal'. The Universe, contrary to some BELIEF is NOT 'circular in nature'.
>
> By ascribing definite form to existence you are essentially limiting existence.
>
> How do you reconcile that?

LOL
LOL
LOL

What is 'it', EXACTLY, that I have, LAUGHABLY, SUPPOSEDLY, 'limited' an INFINITE and ETERNAL 'Existence' TO, EXACTLY?

And, when 'you', personally, CLAIM that 'existence is finite', are 'you' 'essentially limiting existence'? Or, does this so-called and alleged 'essentially limiting existence' ONLY OCCUR when 'I' SAY and/or CLAIM some 'thing' ABOUT 'existence'?

> **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑ Tue Jul 18, 2023 11:00 pm
>
> > **Age** wrote: ↑ Tue Jul 18, 2023 5:41 am
> > Can there be 'existence' with just 'one thing', or can there only be 'existence' with ALL 'things'?
>
> One thing is [part of] existence. The point is there is not just one thing.

So, what IS the OTHER 'thing', or ARE the OTHER 'things', apart FROM 'Existence', Itself?

> **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑ Tue Jul 18, 2023 11:00 pm
>
> > **Age** wrote: ↑ Tue Jul 18, 2023 5:41 am
> >
> > > **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑ Mon Jul 17, 2023 10:58 pm
> > > Not all things are required for there to be existence
> >
> > WHY NOT?
>
> A part of existence is a part of existence.
>
> All existence is all existence.
>
> Either way it is existence.

When you SAY and CLAIM 'things' like, 'it is existence', do you think your are CLEARING 'things' up here?

> **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑ Tue Jul 18, 2023 11:00 pm
>
> > **Age** wrote: ↑ Tue Jul 18, 2023 5:41 am
> >
> > > **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑ Mon Jul 17, 2023 10:58 pm
> > > No thing, nothingness, nonexistence is not and cannot be.
> >
> > BUT this is, AGAIN, WHERE you KEEP CONTRADICTING "your" OWN 'self'.
>
> You have yet to identify any contradiction.

This IS Correct.

Re: Existence Is Infinite

by **daniel j lavender** » Thu Jul 20, 2023 1:28 am

daniel j lavender
Posts: 290
Joined: Sat Apr 16, 2022 3:20 pm
Location: Tennessee
Contact:

> **Age** wrote: ↑ Wed Jul 19, 2023 1:22 am
>
> > **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑ Tue Jul 18, 2023 11:00 pm
> >
> > > **Age** wrote: ↑ Tue Jul 18, 2023 5:41 am
> > > AND so to does 'Existence', Itself. That is; if one wants to LOOK FROM a MUCH BIGGER perspective rather than FROM just a VERY SMALL or NARROWED one.
> > >
> > > AND, if you have NOT YET WORK OUT the DEFINITE form of 'Existence', Itself, then that in NO WAY does NOT necessarily mean that 'Existence' IS FORMLESS.
> > >
> > > That just MEANS you are YET to SEE, KNOW, and UNDERSTAND the DEFINITE FORM of 'Existence', Itself.
> >
> > I’d like to know what you think this “definite form” of existence is.
>
> 'Existence' in a **DEFINITE way, shape, and FORM IS eternal AND infinite**. But, as you have just already IN-FORMED us you are NOT capable of being ABLE TO LOOK AT 'this', YET. And, because you BELIEVE that you NEVER could, then 'you' WILL NEVER be ABLE TO SEE 'this Fact'.

I’m certainly not refuting that existence is infinite and eternal. We agree there.

I am arguing that existence, generally speaking, is not of definite form or shape.

You’re claiming existence is some definite form, apparently “form” as in “shape”, as you acknowledge here, and claiming that “shape” is infinite and eternal. That does not make sense. That is erroneous application of the term.

As you illustrate here, “form” is often defined as “shape” or associated with “shape”. “Shape” isn’t really related with the term infinite or the term eternal. Shape concerns particulars, shape concerns figure as illustrated in the following dictionary entries:

shape (n.)
The characteristic surface configuration of a thing; an outline or contour
Spatial form, contour, or appearance
The body or outward appearance of a person or an animal
The contour of a person's body; the figure
A definite or distinctive form
(American Heritage Dictionary of the English Language Fifth Edition)
https://www.thefreedictionary.com/shape

As illustrated form concerns shape and shape concerns particulars. In other words form and shape concern limitation or limited aspects of existence. At least in common parlance and in the manner I use the terms.

Eternal, eternity is not shape. Infinite is not shape.

The point is you’re sloppily using terms. You’re not explicitly defining terms. You’re relating terms which aren’t really congruent. You’re using ambiguous, cliched language such as “in some way, shape or form” as basis for argumentation. With such an approach you could literally say any thing any way and claim you were saying whatever all along. It’s misleading and confusing. Your approach here is an example of that.

Existence is infinite and eternal. However that isn’t form or shape. That simply is the nature of existence. That simply is what existence is.

Suggesting definite form or shape of existence, which is infinite and eternal, only serves to diminish existence and its splendor.

> **Age** wrote: ↑ Wed Jul 19, 2023 1:22 am
> And, when 'you', personally, CLAIM that 'existence is finite', are 'you' 'essentially limiting existence'? Or, does this so-called and alleged 'essentially limiting existence' ONLY OCCUR when 'I' SAY and/or CLAIM some 'thing' ABOUT 'existence'?

Where did I claim “existence is finite”?

Existence is infinite. Parts of existence, particular things are finite. Things are limited to limitedness.

However existence is infinite, existence is unlimited. This unlimitedness includes the limitedness of things.

You are ascribing definite form to existence. Doing so in and of itself is limitation of existence. Attempted limitation of existence as regardless your view existence is what it is.

> **Age** wrote: ↑ Wed Jul 19, 2023 1:22 am
>
> > **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑ Tue Jul 18, 2023 11:00 pm
> >
> > > **Age** wrote: ↑ Tue Jul 18, 2023 5:41 am
> > > Can there be 'existence' with just 'one thing', or can there only be 'existence' with ALL 'things'?
> >
> > One thing is [part of] existence. The point is there is not just one thing.
>
> So, what IS the OTHER 'thing', or ARE the OTHER 'things', apart FROM 'Existence', Itself?

There are various other things. All things are parts of existence.

> **Age** wrote: ↑ Wed Jul 19, 2023 1:22 am
>
> > **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑ Tue Jul 18, 2023 11:00 pm
> >
> > > **Age** wrote: ↑ Tue Jul 18, 2023 5:41 am
> > > WHY NOT?
> >
> > A part of existence is a part of existence.
> >
> > All existence is all existence.
> >
> > Either way it is existence.
>
> When you SAY and CLAIM 'things' like, 'it is existence', do you think your are CLEARING 'things' up here?

A part of existence is [a part of] existence.

All existence is [all] existence.

Part is existence, all is existence.

Re: Existence Is Infinite

by **Age** » Thu Jul 20, 2023 2:55 am

Age
ONLINE
Posts: 26383
Joined: Sun Aug 05, 2018 8:17 am

> **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑ Thu Jul 20, 2023 1:28 am
>
> > **Age** wrote: ↑ Wed Jul 19, 2023 1:22 am
> >
> > > **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑ Tue Jul 18, 2023 11:00 pm
> > >
> > > I’d like to know what you think this “definite form” of existence is.
> >
> > 'Existence' in a **DEFINITE way, shape, and FORM IS eternal AND infinite**. But, as you have just already IN-FORMED us you are NOT capable of being ABLE TO LOOK AT 'this', YET. And, because you BELIEVE that you NEVER could, then 'you' WILL NEVER be ABLE TO SEE 'this Fact'.
>
> I’m certainly not refuting that existence is infinite and eternal. We agree there.
>
> I am arguing that existence, generally speaking, is not of definite form or shape.

you 'argue' FOR a few 'things' here. ALL of which you have CHOSEN TO BELIEVE are ABSOLUTELY TRUE.

> **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑ Thu Jul 20, 2023 1:28 am
> You’re claiming existence is some definite form, apparently “form” as in “shape”, as you acknowledge here, and claiming that “shape” is infinite and eternal. That does not make sense. That is erroneous application of the term.

Okay.

Infinite and eternal is NOT a 'way', 'shape', NOR 'form', to "daniel j lavender".

The IMPORTANCE OF is 'zero', to me.

> **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑ Thu Jul 20, 2023 1:28 am
> As you illustrate here, “form” is often defined as “shape” or associated with “shape”. “Shape” isn’t really related with the term infinite or the term eternal. Shape concerns particulars, shape concerns figure as illustrated in the following dictionary entries:
>
> shape (n.)
> The characteristic surface configuration of a thing; an outline or contour
> Spatial form, contour, or appearance
> The body or outward appearance of a person or an animal
> The contour of a person's body; the figure
> A definite or distinctive form
> (American Heritage Dictionary of the English Language Fifth Edition)
> https://www.thefreedictionary.com/shape
>
> As illustrated form concerns shape and shape concerns particulars. In other words form and shape concern limitation or limited aspects of existence. At least in common parlance and in the manner I use the terms.

AND, the WAY you USE 'terms' is the ONLY WAY EVERY one "else" SHOULD LOOK AT and USE those 'terms' AS WELL, ALSO, right?

> **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑ Thu Jul 20, 2023 1:28 am
> Eternal, eternity is not shape. Infinite is not shape.

Okay, and then this here MEANS 'what', EXACTLY?

> **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑ Thu Jul 20, 2023 1:28 am
> The point is you’re sloppily using terms.

Okay. BUT you do NOT, right?

> **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑ Thu Jul 20, 2023 1:28 am
> You’re not explicitly defining terms.

Okay. BUT you DO, right?

IN FACT you 'define' 'terms' SO 'explicitly' that, VERY COINCIDENTALLY, 'those terms' JUST HAPPEN TO FIT IN, PERFECTLY, WITH what you were ALREADY BELIEVING what the ABSOLUTE TRUTH OF 'things'. Which IS REALLY QUITE AMAZING. That is; IF one was to REALLY LOOK AT and DELVE INTO 'this' FULLY.

> **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑ Thu Jul 20, 2023 1:28 am
> You’re relating terms which aren’t really congruent. You’re using ambiguous, cliched language such as “in some way, shape or form” as basis for argumentation.

BUT I NOT YET 'argued' FOR ANY 'thing'.

I have JUST SAID 'things' and made CLAIMS ALONE. REMEMBER it IS 'you' who is 'trying' SO DESPERATELY TO 'argue' for what you ASSUME and BELIEVE IS TRUE here.

> **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑ Thu Jul 20, 2023 1:28 am
> With such an approach you could literally say any thing any way and claim you were saying whatever all along. It’s misleading and confusing. Your approach here is an example of that.

Okay. AND, you have NOT being doing 'this' "yourself" AT ALL, right?

> **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑ Thu Jul 20, 2023 1:28 am
> Existence is infinite and eternal. However that isn’t form or shape. That simply is the nature of existence. That simply is what existence is.

Okay.

"daniel j lavender" SAYS that 'existence is infinite and eternal', and that 'simply' IS 'what existence is'.

> **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑ Thu Jul 20, 2023 1:28 am
> Suggesting definite form or shape of existence, which is infinite and eternal, only serves to diminish existence and its splendor.

Okay, the WAY, FORM, or SHAPE of 'existence', itself, is NOT infinite and eternal, BECAUSE suggesting such a thing, somehow, DIMINISHES 'existence' AND 'its splendor'.

BUT, whatever "daniel j lavender' SAYS ABOUT 'existence' NEVER 'diminishes' 'existence', NOR 'its splendor'.

Just so EVERY one here is CLEAR ON 'this'.

> **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑ Thu Jul 20, 2023 1:28 am
>
> > **Age** wrote: ↑ Wed Jul 19, 2023 1:22 am
> > And, when 'you', personally, CLAIM that 'existence is finite', are 'you' 'essentially limiting existence'? Or, does this so-called and alleged 'essentially limiting existence' ONLY OCCUR when 'I' SAY and/or CLAIM some 'thing' ABOUT 'existence'?
>
> Where did I claim “existence is finite”?
>
> Existence is infinite. Parts of existence, particular things are finite. Things are limited to limitedness.
>
> However existence is infinite, existence is unlimited. This unlimitedness includes the limitedness of things.
>
> You are ascribing definite form to existence. Doing so in and of itself is limitation of existence. Attempted limitation of existence as regardless your view existence is what it is.

Okay, 'existence', by ANY 'definite form', is NOT 'infinite'. Or, 'existence' is NOT, by ANY definite form, 'infinite'.

> **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑ Thu Jul 20, 2023 1:28 am
>
> > **Age** wrote: ↑ Wed Jul 19, 2023 1:22 am
> >
> > > **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑ Tue Jul 18, 2023 11:00 pm
> > >
> > > One thing is [part of] existence. The point is there is not just one thing.
> >
> > So, what IS the OTHER 'thing', or ARE the OTHER 'things', apart FROM 'Existence', Itself?
>
> There are various other things. All things are parts of existence.

And what are ALL of these 'things', which ARE 'parts of existence' made up, EXACTLY?

> **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑ Thu Jul 20, 2023 1:28 am
>
> > **Age** wrote: ↑ Wed Jul 19, 2023 1:22 am
> >
> > > **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑ Tue Jul 18, 2023 11:00 pm
> > >
> > > A part of existence is a part of existence.
> > >
> > > All existence is all existence.
> > >
> > > Either way it is existence.
> >
> > When you SAY and CLAIM 'things' like, 'it is existence', do you think your are CLEARING 'things' up here?
>
> A part of existence is [a part of] existence.
>
> All existence is [all] existence.

ALSO, A part of "daneil j lavender" is [a part of] "daniel j lavender]. AND,

ALL "daniel j lavender" is [all] "daniel j lavender".

BUT, does SAYING and CLAIMING 'this' CLEAR 'things' UP ABOUT WHO and WHAT "daniel j lavender", EXACTLY?

> **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑ Thu Jul 20, 2023 1:28 am
> Part is existence, all is existence.

Okay, 'this' IS MUCH CLEARER now, right?

Re: Existence Is Infinite

by **daniel j lavender** » Thu Jul 20, 2023 4:13 am

daniel j lavender
Posts: 290
Joined: Sat Apr 16, 2022 3:20 pm
Location: Tennessee
Contact:

> **Age** wrote: ↑ Thu Jul 20, 2023 2:55 am
> AND, the WAY you USE 'terms' is the ONLY WAY EVERY one "else" SHOULD LOOK AT and USE those 'terms' AS WELL, ALSO, right?

You may disagree with how I have defined terms but at least it is known what I am talking about.

Terms are defined so ideas can be clearly conveyed.

> **Age** wrote: ↑ Thu Jul 20, 2023 2:55 am
>
> > **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑ Thu Jul 20, 2023 1:28 am
> > You’re not explicitly defining terms.
>
> Okay. BUT you DO, right?

Yes.

> **Age** wrote: ↑ Thu Jul 20, 2023 2:55 am
>
> > **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑ Thu Jul 20, 2023 1:28 am
> > Suggesting definite form or shape of existence, which is infinite and eternal, only serves to diminish existence and its splendor.
>
> Okay, the WAY, FORM, or SHAPE of 'existence', itself, is NOT infinite and eternal, BECAUSE suggesting such a thing, somehow, DIMINISHES 'existence' AND 'its splendor'.

Existence is infinite and eternal. Existence is not limited to any particular shape or any specific form.

Suggesting definite form or shape of existence serves to diminish existence. Suggesting definite form or shape implies limitation.

One should be cautious of using certain terms to convey such ideas. Misleading terms and term use can cause lots of confusion.

> **Age** wrote: ↑ Thu Jul 20, 2023 2:55 am
>
> > **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑ Thu Jul 20, 2023 1:28 am
> >
> > > **Age** wrote: ↑ Wed Jul 19, 2023 1:22 am
> > > So, what IS the OTHER 'thing', or ARE the OTHER 'things', apart FROM 'Existence', Itself?
> >
> > There are various other things. All things are parts of existence.
>
> And what are ALL of these 'things', which ARE 'parts of existence' made up, EXACTLY?

It’s existence all the way down.

> **Age** wrote: ↑ Thu Jul 20, 2023 2:55 am
> "daniel j lavender" SAYS that 'existence is infinite and eternal', and that 'simply' IS 'what existence is'.

Correct.

Re: Existence Is Infinite

by **Age** » Thu Jul 20, 2023 8:35 am

Age
ONLINE
Posts: 26383
Joined: Sun Aug 05, 2018 8:17 am

> **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑ Thu Jul 20, 2023 4:13 am
>
> > **Age** wrote: ↑ Thu Jul 20, 2023 2:55 am
> > AND, the WAY you USE 'terms' is the ONLY WAY EVERY one "else" SHOULD LOOK AT and USE those 'terms' AS WELL, ALSO, right?
>
> You may disagree with how I have defined terms but at least it is known what I am talking about.

BUT you ARE CONTRADICTING "yourself" here, AND, defining 'terms' in a way that is NOT even logically POSSIBLE, let alone physically POSSIBLE.

Terms are defined so ideas can be clearly conveyed.[/quote]

But some of your ideas here are just ILLOGICAL, NONSENSICAL, and IMPOSSIBLE, especially in the way you are defining YOUR 'terms' here. BUT while you keep BELIEVING that your ideas here are ABSOLUTELY TRUE and RIGHT you will NEVER come to SEE and LEARN this Fact.

> **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑ Thu Jul 20, 2023 4:13 am
>
> > **Age** wrote: ↑ Thu Jul 20, 2023 2:55 am
> >
> > > **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑ Thu Jul 20, 2023 1:28 am
> > > You’re not explicitly defining terms.
> >
> > Okay. BUT you DO, right?
>
> Yes.

And, the WAY you DEFINE YOUR 'terms' here MAKES what you ALREADY BELIEVE IS TRUE even MORE TRUE, and MORE RIGHT, right?

> **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑ Thu Jul 20, 2023 4:13 am
>
> > **Age** wrote: ↑ Thu Jul 20, 2023 2:55 am
> >
> > > **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑ Thu Jul 20, 2023 1:28 am
> > > Suggesting definite form or shape of existence, which is infinite and eternal, only serves to diminish existence and its splendor.
> >
> > Okay, the WAY, FORM, or SHAPE of 'existence', itself, is NOT infinite and eternal, BECAUSE suggesting such a thing, somehow, DIMINISHES 'existence' AND 'its splendor'.
>
> Existence is infinite and eternal. Existence is not limited to any particular shape or any specific form.
>
> Suggesting definite form or shape of existence serves to diminish existence. Suggesting definite form or shape implies limitation.
>
> One should be cautious of using certain terms to convey such ideas. Misleading terms and term use can cause lots of confusion.

you are PROVING 'this' true here.

> **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑ Thu Jul 20, 2023 4:13 am
>
> > **Age** wrote: ↑ Thu Jul 20, 2023 2:55 am
> >
> > > **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑ Thu Jul 20, 2023 1:28 am
> > >
> > > There are various other things. All things are parts of existence.
> >
> > And what are ALL of these 'things', which ARE 'parts of existence' made up, EXACTLY?
>
> It’s existence all the way down.

you have OBVIOUSLY MISSED or MISUNDERSTOOD the ACTUAL QUESTION I posed and ASKED here. Or, you are just 'trying to' DEFLECT, ONCE AGAIN.

> **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑ Thu Jul 20, 2023 4:13 am
>
> > **Age** wrote: ↑ Thu Jul 20, 2023 2:55 am
> > "daniel j lavender" SAYS that 'existence is infinite and eternal', and that 'simply' IS 'what existence is'.
>
> Correct.

Okay.

Is there ANY 'thing' ELSE that you want to SAY here?

Re: Existence Is Infinite

by **daniel j lavender** » Thu Jul 20, 2023 9:15 am

daniel j lavender
Posts: 290
Joined: Sat Apr 16, 2022 3:20 pm
Location: Tennessee
Contact:

> **Age** wrote: ↑ Thu Jul 20, 2023 8:35 am
>
> > **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑ Thu Jul 20, 2023 4:13 am
> >
> > > **Age** wrote: ↑ Thu Jul 20, 2023 2:55 am
> > > AND, the WAY you USE 'terms' is the ONLY WAY EVERY one "else" SHOULD LOOK AT and USE those 'terms' AS WELL, ALSO, right?
> >
> > You may disagree with how I have defined terms but at least it is known what I am talking about.
>
> BUT you ARE CONTRADICTING "yourself" here, AND, defining 'terms' in a way that is NOT even logically POSSIBLE, let alone physically POSSIBLE.
>
> But some of your ideas here are just ILLOGICAL, NONSENSICAL, and IMPOSSIBLE, especially in the way you are defining YOUR 'terms' here. BUT while you keep BELIEVING that your ideas here are ABSOLUTELY TRUE and RIGHT you will NEVER come to SEE and LEARN this Fact.

You’re providing commentary not argumentation.

You’re not identifying or addressing any issue. You’re just claiming there is contradiction or issue.

How is it illogical? How is it nonsensical? How is it impossible? How is it contradictory? You fail to demonstrate how this is the case.

> **Age** wrote: ↑ Thu Jul 20, 2023 8:35 am
> And, the WAY you DEFINE YOUR 'terms' here MAKES what you ALREADY BELIEVE IS TRUE even MORE TRUE, and MORE RIGHT, right?

It makes conveying the ideas easier.

> **Age** wrote: ↑ Thu Jul 20, 2023 8:35 am
>
> > **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑ Thu Jul 20, 2023 4:13 am
> >
> > > **Age** wrote: ↑ Thu Jul 20, 2023 2:55 am
> > > And what are ALL of these 'things', which ARE 'parts of existence' made up, EXACTLY?
> >
> > It’s existence all the way down.
>
> you have OBVIOUSLY MISSED or MISUNDERSTOOD the ACTUAL QUESTION I posed and ASKED here. Or, you are just 'trying to' DEFLECT, ONCE AGAIN.

Not at all.

Whatever those things are made up of would be existence, would be parts of existence. Existence all the way down.

Re: Existence Is Infinite

by **Age** » Thu Jul 20, 2023 9:27 am

Age
ONLINE
Posts: 26383
Joined: Sun Aug 05, 2018 8:17 am

> **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑ Thu Jul 20, 2023 9:15 am
>
> > **Age** wrote: ↑ Thu Jul 20, 2023 8:35 am
> >
> > > **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑ Thu Jul 20, 2023 4:13 am
> > >
> > > You may disagree with how I have defined terms but at least it is known what I am talking about.
> >
> > BUT you ARE CONTRADICTING "yourself" here, AND, defining 'terms' in a way that is NOT even logically POSSIBLE, let alone physically POSSIBLE.
> >
> > But some of your ideas here are just ILLOGICAL, NONSENSICAL, and IMPOSSIBLE, especially in the way you are defining YOUR 'terms' here. BUT while you keep BELIEVING that your ideas here are ABSOLUTELY TRUE and RIGHT you will NEVER come to SEE and LEARN this Fact.
>
> You’re providing commentary not argumentation.

I KNOW.

I do NOT even bother 'arguing' with 'those' who HAVE and HOLD BELIEFS. I have found that there is absolutely NO use in doing so.

> **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑ Thu Jul 20, 2023 4:13 am
> You’re not identifying or addressing any issue. You’re just claiming there is contradiction or issue.

YES, and the CONTRADICTION is VERY OBVIOUS. Well to some of 'us' anyway.

> **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑ Thu Jul 20, 2023 4:13 am
> How is it illogical? How is it nonsensical? How is it impossible? How is it contradictory?

What does the 'it' word here refer to, EXACTLY?

> **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑ Thu Jul 20, 2023 4:13 am
> You fail to demonstrate how this is the case.

YES, this is BECAUSE I have NOT even 'tried to', let alone even 'begun' to, DEMONSTRATE.

> **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑ Thu Jul 20, 2023 4:13 am
>
> > **Age** wrote: ↑ Thu Jul 20, 2023 8:35 am
> > And, the WAY you DEFINE YOUR 'terms' here MAKES what you ALREADY BELIEVE IS TRUE even MORE TRUE, and MORE RIGHT, right?
>
> It makes conveying the ideas easier.

Does the idea and Fact that, **'existence is eternal and infinite'**, which is just '*what IS*' anyway, really NEED 'conveying', to begin with?

> **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑ Thu Jul 20, 2023 4:13 am
>
> > **Age** wrote: ↑ Thu Jul 20, 2023 8:35 am
> >
> > > **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑ Thu Jul 20, 2023 4:13 am
> > >
> > > It’s existence all the way down.
> >
> > you have OBVIOUSLY MISSED or MISUNDERSTOOD the ACTUAL QUESTION I posed and ASKED here. Or, you are just 'trying to' DEFLECT, ONCE AGAIN.
>
> Not at all.

'What' IS 'not at all' here?

> **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑ Thu Jul 20, 2023 4:13 am
> Whatever those things are made up of would be existence, would be parts of existence. Existence all the way down.

Are you SAYING here that you do NOT YET KNOW, for sure, what 'those things' are made up of, EXACTLY?

Re: Existence Is Infinite

by **daniel j lavender** » Thu Jul 20, 2023 9:48 am

daniel j lavender
Posts: 290
Joined: Sat Apr 16, 2022 3:20 pm
Location: Tennessee
Contact:

> **Age** wrote: ↑ Thu Jul 20, 2023 9:27 am
> Does the idea and Fact that, **'existence is eternal and infinite'**, which is just '*what IS*' anyway, really NEED 'conveying', to begin with?

Not really.

Existence is what it is whether acknowledged or not.

> **Age** wrote: ↑ Thu Jul 20, 2023 9:27 am
>
> > **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑ Thu Jul 20, 2023 4:13 am
> > How is it illogical? How is it nonsensical? How is it impossible? How is it contradictory? You fail to demonstrate how this is the case.
>
> YES, this is BECAUSE I have NOT even 'tried to', let alone even 'begun' to, DEMONSTRATE.

There is no need to reveal your dubious contention as your hesitance does it for you.

> **Age** wrote: ↑ Thu Jul 20, 2023 9:27 am
>
> > **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑ Thu Jul 20, 2023 4:13 am
> > Whatever those things are made up of would be existence, would be parts of existence. Existence all the way down.
>
> Are you SAYING here that you do NOT YET KNOW, for sure, what 'those things' are made up of, EXACTLY?

I’m saying you specified no particular things to identify constituent parts. But whatever the things may be, if they had constituent parts or pieces those parts or pieces would be existence too.

Re: Existence Is Infinite

by **Age** » Thu Jul 20, 2023 10:14 am

Age
ONLINE
Posts: 26383
Joined: Sun Aug 05, 2018 8:17 am

> **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑ Thu Jul 20, 2023 9:48 am
>
> > **Age** wrote: ↑ Thu Jul 20, 2023 9:27 am
> > Does the idea and Fact that, **'existence is eternal and infinite'**, which is just '*what IS*' anyway, really NEED 'conveying', to begin with?
>
> Not really.
>
> Existence is what it is whether acknowledged or not.

Okay. I AGREE by the way.

> **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑ Thu Jul 20, 2023 9:48 am
>
> > **Age** wrote: ↑ Thu Jul 20, 2023 9:27 am
> >
> > > **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑ Thu Jul 20, 2023 4:13 am
> > > How is it illogical? How is it nonsensical? How is it impossible? How is it contradictory? You fail to demonstrate how this is the case.
> >
> > YES, this is BECAUSE I have NOT even 'tried to', let alone even 'begun' to, DEMONSTRATE.
>
> There is no need to reveal your dubious argument as your hesitance does it for you.

Wow, you do NOT just ASSUME 'things' here you ACTUALLY ALSO BELIEVE you KNOW the 'things' that have NOT even been PRESENTED, nor SHOWN.

So, what we have here now is that because you ASSUME and/or BELIEVE that I have been, SUPPOSEDLY, 'hesitant', in PRESENTING ANY argument, which I may, or may NOT have, then 'this' MEANS, absolutely, to you, that ANY 'argument' I have IS 'dubious'.

'your' INSIGHTFUL KNOWLEDGE here is Truly AMAZING "daniel j lavender".

> **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑ Thu Jul 20, 2023 9:48 am
>
> > **Age** wrote: ↑ Thu Jul 20, 2023 9:27 am
> >
> > > **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑ Thu Jul 20, 2023 4:13 am
> > > Whatever those things are made up of would be existence, would be parts of existence. Existence all the way down.
> >
> > Are you SAYING here that you do NOT YET KNOW, for sure, what 'those things' are made up of, EXACTLY?
>
> I’m saying you specified no particular things to identify constituent parts.

Was I MEANT TO?

> **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑ Thu Jul 20, 2023 9:48 am
> But whatever the things may be, if they had constituent parts or pieces those parts or pieces would be existence too.

Okay, I think 'this' is, fairly well, VERY OBVIOUS.

While, ALSO, REVEALING that if you ACTUALLY KNEW what ALL 'things' were constitutionally made up of, EXACTLY, then you would ALSO ALREADY KNOW WHERE, and WHEN, 'you' HAVE BEEN CONTRADICTING "your" 'self' here, "daniel j lavender".

Re: Existence Is Infinite

by **daniel j lavender** » Thu Jul 20, 2023 11:13 am

daniel j lavender
Posts: 290
Joined: Sat Apr 16, 2022 3:20 pm
Location: Tennessee
Contact:

> **Age** wrote: ↑ Thu Jul 20, 2023 10:14 am
>
> > **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑ Thu Jul 20, 2023 9:48 am
> >
> > > **Age** wrote: ↑ Thu Jul 20, 2023 9:27 am
> > > YES, this is BECAUSE I have NOT even 'tried to', let alone even 'begun' to, DEMONSTRATE.
> >
> > There is no need to reveal your dubious contention as your hesitance does it for you.
>
> Wow, you do NOT just ASSUME 'things' here you ACTUALLY ALSO BELIEVE you KNOW the 'things' that have NOT even been PRESENTED, nor SHOWN.
>
> So, what we have here now is that because you ASSUME and/or BELIEVE that I have been, SUPPOSEDLY, 'hesitant', in PRESENTING ANY argument, which I may, or may NOT have, then 'this' MEANS, absolutely, to you, that ANY 'argument' I have IS 'dubious'.
>
> 'your' INSIGHTFUL KNOWLEDGE here is Truly AMAZING "daniel j lavender".

Then present your contention and remove all doubt. Advance the conversation rather than compile commentary.

> **Age** wrote: ↑ Thu Jul 20, 2023 10:14 am
>
> > **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑ Thu Jul 20, 2023 9:48 am
> >
> > > **Age** wrote: ↑ Thu Jul 20, 2023 9:27 am
> > > Are you SAYING here that you do NOT YET KNOW, for sure, what 'those things' are made up of, EXACTLY?
> >
> > I’m saying you specified no particular things to identify constituent parts.
>
> Was I MEANT TO?

For specific parts to be identified that would be necessary.

> **Age** wrote: ↑ Thu Jul 20, 2023 10:14 am
>
> > **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑ Thu Jul 20, 2023 9:48 am
> > But whatever the things may be, if they had constituent parts or pieces those parts or pieces would be existence too.
>
> Okay, I think 'this' is, fairly well, VERY OBVIOUS.
>
> While, ALSO, REVEALING that if you ACTUALLY KNEW **what ALL 'things' were constitutionally made up of**, EXACTLY, then you would ALSO ALREADY KNOW WHERE, and WHEN, **'you' HAVE BEEN CONTRADICTING "your" 'self' here**, "daniel j lavender".

All things are *all things*. They are not “made up of”. They would already be *all things*.

In other words there’s no more things than all things. I never implied otherwise.

In context I said all other things, along with that one thing, are parts of existence. All things are parts of existence:

> **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑ Thu Jul 20, 2023 1:28 am
>
> > **Age** wrote: ↑ Wed Jul 19, 2023 1:22 am
> >
> > > **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑ Tue Jul 18, 2023 11:00 pm
> > > One thing is [part of] existence. The point is there is not just one thing.
> >
> > So, what IS the OTHER 'thing', or ARE the OTHER 'things', apart FROM 'Existence', Itself?
>
> There are various other things. All things are parts of existence.

> **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑ Thu Jul 20, 2023 4:13 am